Enrichment of the r-process elements Min-the sub-halos as building blocks. of the Milky Way halo

They tells the star formation history of our Galaxy, Yuhri Ishimaru (ICU, Japan) Galaxy, Shinya Wanajo (IUM, Germany), Nikos Prantzos (IAP, France)

Chemical Compositions of MPS



Why does [r/Fe] in MPS scatter?

One of possible explanation is ...

The ISM was not well-mixed!

And the r-process site is in specific SN II

Inhomogeneous Chemical Evolution

Super

ova

KSNR

Supernova Remnant

The expansion radius is detemined by ISM density+metallicity, and **explosion energy** (Cioffi et al.

ISM

Standard One-zone chemical evolution is assumed

New Stars formed from mixed gas of ISM+SNR

 Supernova Nucleosynthesis
SNe II: Woosley & Weaver '95 (0~Z₀ stars), Chieffi & Limongi '04 (0~Z₀ stars), Nomoto, Umeda, Tominaga '06 (0~Z₀ stars: SNe+HNe)
r-process: Yield is assumed to be constant among given stellar material for r-process site, and the absolute value is determined to SS v

Inhomogeneous Enrichment & Origin of Eu



-3 -2 -1 [Fe/H] If the site of r-process is in...

SN II of lower mass stars (8-10M_☉):

persions in [Eu/Fe] are well-explained by inhomogeneity of la if the site of r-process in low mass SN II.

problems are in lighter elements such as Fe-pe

Ο



pservations suggests clear trends with small dispersion

peaks seem to suggest HOMOGENEOUS IS

Homogeneous ? or Inhomogeneous?

Why are dispersions in [r/Fe] huge, while those for lighter elements are small?

One possibility is Hierarchical galaxy formation + Neutron star mergers

Neutron star mergers?

NSMs are one of the currently favored sites of r-process



Previous chemical evolution studies seemed to contradict NSM:

Long lifetime (\sim 100 Myr) and low frequency (10⁻⁵ yr⁻¹) would lead to

the delayed appearance of r-elements and too larger scatter in the Galaxy

(Argast et al. 2004)

BUT a hierarchical clustering model of sub-halos do not exclude this possibility!!



According to the CDM model, our Galaxy may be formed from clusterings of sub-halos such as dwarf spheroidals (dSphs) in the local group.



Under this assumption, the halo is a collective of stars from various dSphs with different star formation histories!

Star formation history of sub-halos?



- ★ Observations of dwarf galaxies show: Median stellar metallicity [Fe/H]₀
 ∞ (total stellar mass M_{*}) ^{0.4} (Dekel & Woo 2003)
 - $\Rightarrow Massive dwarf galaxies have higher effective yield (y_{eff})$

 One of the most plausible formation scenarios of dwarf galaxies: As stars are formed, the ISM is expelled because of its shallow grav. potential.

★ The Simple model of GCE suggests
y_{eff} is roughly inversely proportional to
R_{o/s} ≡ out flow / star formation rate
(Prantzos 2008)

Observed $[Fe/H]_0 - M_*$ relation suggests $R_{o/s} \propto (M_*)^{-0.4}$

Star formation history of sub-halos?



Actually, the shape MDs of dSphs are affected by both SN II and SNIa.

But the median [Fe/H] is almost constant for given $R_{o/s}$ In other words, SFR cannot be determined only by MD.

Combination of MD + $[\alpha/Fe]$

i) Outflow rate: 0.1[Gyr⁻¹] (Constant) SFR: higher for massive dSphs



ii) Outflow rate: lower for massive dSphs SFR: 0.05 [Gyr⁻¹]Constant





Clustering Model and Dispersions in [Eu/Fe]

sume 1) the Galactic halo is formed from cluIsterings of dSphs of 10⁵ – 2 × 1 with SFR & OFR determined from obs. data, 2) r-process site is in NSMs with lifetime of ~100 Myrs



If neutron star mergers are the r-process site, Eu appears at lower metallicity in smaller sub-halos with lower SFR. ring of sub-halos with various SFR can also explain large scatters in even if their ISM is always well-mixed!

Conclusions

Observed scatters in [Eu/Fe] of metal-poor stars can be explained,

if the halo is formed from monolithic collapse but enriched inhomogeneous if the halo is formed from clusterings of sub-halos with various star formation rates.

If so, the r-process site is in

Ne II of lower mass stars Neutron star mergers

© Serge Brunie