The Formation of Supermassive Black Holes at High Redshift

Zoltán Haiman

Columbia University

Collaborators: Taka Tanaka (MPA), Mark Dijkstra (MPA), Cien Shang (UCSB), Jemma Wolcott-Green (Columbia), Greg Bryan (Columbia)

Outline

 High-redshift structure formation: BH remnants of the first stars – theory Using stellar-mass seed BHs to build SMBHs Rapid direct collapse in more massive halos 	[6]
 BH remnants of the first stars – theory Using stellar-mass seed BHs to build SMBHs Rapid direct collapse in more massive halos 	
 Using stellar-mass seed BHs to build SMBHs Rapid direct collapse in more massive halos 	[6]
Rapid direct collapse in more massive halos	[7]
Duching CMDLL concerns her with future choose sticks	[9]
Proping Sivier assembly with future observations	[3]

The Cosmic Dark Age



Cosmic Evolution of Galaxies and Quasars





Hubble Ultra Deep Fields Bouwens et al. 2010 Illingworth et al. 2010 **SDSS + CFHQS** Fan et al. 2006 Willott et al. 2010

Properties of z>6 Quasars

• Rare ("5σ") objects:

10 found in SDSS at z>6 20 in CFHQ + few others

• Tip of the iceberg:

Space density ~1 Gpc⁻³



Willott et al. 2010

• Record: z=7.08 (t=0.77 Gyr – 5% of current age; UKIDSS)

Properties of z>6 Quasars

• As fully developed as their z=2.5 counterparts: host galaxies already polluted with heavy elements



• Mass estimates

 $M_{bh} = L_{obs} / L_{Edd} \approx 10^{9-10} M_{\odot}$ (Eddington luminosity) $M_{halo} \approx 10^{12-13} M_{\odot}$ (match space density)

Timescale for BH growth

Example: SDSS 1114-5251 (Fan et al. 2003) z=6.43 $M_{bh} = L_{obs} / L_{Edd} \approx 4 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$

How did this SMBH grow so massive? (Haiman & Loeb 2001)

Eddington accretion: $L_{edd} = \epsilon (dM/dt) c^2$

 $L_{edd} = \epsilon (dM/dt) c^2$ $\epsilon \sim few percent from ISCO$

e-folding (Edd) time: M/(dM/dt) = $4 \times (\epsilon/0.1) 10^7 \text{yr}$

No. e-foldings needed $ln(M_{bh}/M_{seed}) \sim 20$ for $M_{seed} \sim 100 M_{\odot}$

Age of universe (z=6.43) 8 x 10⁸ yr ✓

Must start early – accretion rate must keep up w/ Eddington [obvious alternatives: (1) grow faster or (2) merge many BHs]

Can we be fooled?

- Short answer: NO. Several $10^9 M_{\odot}$ masses are here to stay.

• Strong beaming? No. (Haiman & Cen 2002; Willott et al. 2003)

• Gravitational lensing? No. (Keeton, Kuhlen & Haiman 2004)

• Empirical measurement of L/L_{edd} from CIV and MgII line widths, calibrated from local reverberation mapping $[GM/R = const \sigma^2]$ (Vestergaard 2004; Kurk et al. 2007 Jiang et al. 2009; de Rosa et al. 2010)



... and if $L >> L_{edd}$ then $dM/dt = L/\varepsilon c^2$ is large \rightarrow BH anyway accretes $4 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ in $<< 4 \times (\epsilon/0.1)^{-1} 10^7 yr$

Outline

- High-redshift quasars observations
 - High-redshift structure formation: BH remnants of the first stars – theory
- Using stellar-mass seed BHs to build SMBHs
- Rapid direct collapse in more massive halos
- Probing z>6 SMBH assembly with future observations

Cosmological Structure Formation

How does (a few dozen) billion-solar mass BH form by z=6 ?

Millennium simulation – Volker Springel, MPA

Seed Fluctuations on Small Scales



e.g. Lukic et al. (2007) Reed et al. (2007)

Collapse of Spherical "Minihalo" in Isolation



Gas Phase Chemistry: $H + e^{-} \rightarrow H^{-} + \gamma$ $H^{-} + H \rightarrow H_{2} + e^{-}$

Clouds with virial temperature T_{vir} ≥ 200 K can form H₂, cool and collapse

Haiman, Thoul & Loeb (1996) Tegmark et al. (1997)

redshift

3D Simulation of a Primordial Gas Cloud



Fig. 1: Projected gas distribution around the protostar. Shown regions are, from top-left, clockwise, (A) the large-scale gas distribution around the cosmological halo (300 pc on a side), (B) a self-gravitating, star-forming cloud (5 pc on a side), (C) the central part of the fully molecular core (10 astronomical units on a side), and (D) the final protostar (25 solar-radii on a side). We use the density-weighted temperature to color (D), to show the complex structure of the protostar. Abel et al. (2002), Bromm et al. (2002) Yoshida, Omukai & Hernquist (2008)

> Cosmological halo: $M_{tot} \approx 5 \times 10^5 \, M_{\odot}$ $z \approx 14$

Protostar in core $T \approx 10,000 \text{ K}$ $n \approx 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $M_* \approx 0.01 \text{ M}_{\odot}$

Final stellar mass: $M_* \sim 100 \ M_{\odot}$

Computation? 3D Simulation of a Primordial Gas Cloud



Fig. 1: Projected gas distribution around the protostar. Shown regions are, from top-left, clockwise, (A) the large-scale gas distribution around the cosmological halo (300 pc on a side), (B) a self-gravitating, star-forming cloud (5 pc on a side), (C) the central part of the fully molecular core (10 astronomical units on a side), and (D) the final protostar (25 solar-radii on a side). We use the density-weighted temperature to color (D), to show the complex structure of the protostar. Abel et al. (2002), Bromm et al. (2002) Yoshida, Omukai & Hernquist (2008)

> Cosmological halo: $M_{tot} \approx 5 \times 10^5 \, M_{\odot}$ $z \approx 14$

Protostar in core $T \approx 10,000 \text{ K}$ $n \approx 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $M_* \approx 0.01 \text{ M}_{\odot}$

Final stellar mass: $M_* \sim 100 \ M_{\odot}$

Final Stellar Mass?

Shang, Bryan & Haiman (2010)



 $dM/dt = few \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$

Final Stellar Mass?

Shang, Bryan & Haiman (2010)



10²⁻³ M_o Pop III star Abel et al.; Bromm et al.; Yoshida et al...

Or...Fragmentation?

Using sink particles to follow post-1st-clump evolution ~10 fragments with masses of 0.1-10 M_☉ Driven by turbulence and disk self-gravity? Greif et al. (2011); also Prieto et al. (2011), Clark et al. (2010); Stacy et al. (2010)



Outline

- High-redshift quasars observations
- High-redshift structure formation:
 BH remnants of the first stars theory
 - Using stellar-mass seed BHs to build SMBHs
- Rapid direct collapse in more massive halos
- Probing z>6 SMBH assembly with future observations

Remnants of Massive Stars

Heger et al. 2003 (for single, non-rotating stars)





Sesana et al. (2004); Bromley et al. (2004); Volonteri & Rees (2006), Shapiro (2005); Tanaka & Haiman (2010) Also hydro simulations: Li et al. (2007); Sijacki et al. (2009) **Growing SMBHs by Accretion + Mergers** Tanaka & Haiman (2010)

Construct Monte-Carlo DM halo merger trees from z=6 to z>40 $10^8 M_{\odot} \le M_{halo} \le 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ (M_{res} =few $10^5 M_{\odot}$; N~10⁵ trees)

- Fraction of minihalos forming stellar BH seeds ? - f_{seed} depends on IMF and global feedback ($M_{seed} \sim 10-100 M_{\odot}$)
- Time-average mass accretion rate ?
 - duty cycle " \mathbf{f}_{duty} " for accretion ($\mathbf{f}_{duty} \sim 1.0$)
 - maximum of Bondi and Eddington rate

• What happens to BHs when halos merge? Gravitational Recoil ?

- at merger, draw random v_{kick} (Baker et al. 2008)
- spin orientation: random or aligned
- follow kicked BH trajectory damped oscillation (gas drag)
- profile either $\rho \propto r^{-2.2}$ (cool gas) or flat core (adiabatic)

Do Most Minihalos Form Stars?

Minihalos are fragile - many possible feedback effects

- LOCAL FEEDBACK IN & NEAR MINIHALO
 - UV flux unbinds gas
 - supernova expels gas, sweeps up shells
 - H₂ chemistry (positive and negative)
 - metals enhance cooling

• GLOBAL (FAR REACHING OR LONG LASTING)

- H₂ chemistry (LW: negative X-rays: positive)
- entropy floor (inactive fossil HII regions or X-rays)
- photo-evaporation (minihalos with $\sigma < 10$ km/s)
- photo-heating (halos with 10 km/s < σ < 50 km/s)
- global dispersion of metals (pop III \rightarrow pop II)
- mechanical (SN blast waves)

Time-averaged Mass Accretion Rate ?

LIMIT FROM AMBIENT CONDITIONS

- Bondi rate ($\propto \rho M_{BH}^{2/c_{s}^{3}}$) initially sub-Eddington
- Quickly catches up with Eddington rate, if p high

• LIMIT BY RADIATIVE FEEDBACK

- Radiation from star + hole ionizes and heats gas
- No steady-state solution in *spherical symmetry*
- Episodic accretion with time-averaged $f_{duty} \sim 0.3$

Milosavljević et al. (2009)

• SUPER-EDDINGTON MASS ACCRETION?

- Radiation trapped and advected with flow (RIAF's)
- Problem: winds only few % of mass reaches BH
- Radiation leaks out photon bubble MHD instability
- $f_{duty} \sim 2-3$ possible (?)

A possible obstacle: gravitational recoil



- Gravitational radiation produces sudden recoil

 kick velocity depends on mass ratio and on spin vectors
 typical v(kick) ~ few × 100 km/s
 (Baker et al. 2006, 2007)
 maximum v(kick) ~ 4,000 km/s
 Gonzalez et al. 2007)
 v(kick) ≤ 1 km/s for unequal BH masses (q < 0.01)
- Most important at high redshift when halos are small

 escape velocities from z>6 halos is <u>few</u> km/s
- Is there a 'sweet spot' for fraction of halos with BH seeds?

SMBH mass function at z=6

Tanaka & Haiman (2010)



SMBHs from stellar seeds: Results

• (i) density cusp (ii) f_{seed} ≥10⁻³ (iii) f_{duty} ≥0.8

very optimistic assumptions required!

- Making few × 10⁹ M_{\odot} BHs by z=6 without overproducing the number of few × 10⁵ M_{\odot} BHs ($\rho_{BH} \leq 4 \times 10^4 M_{\odot} Mpc^{-3}$) suggests $f_{seed} \approx 10^{-2}$ and negative feedback at z~25
- X-ray heating from BHs themselves, or growth self-regulates
- The $10^9 M_{\odot}$ BHs result from runaway early seeds (z>25) that avoided ejection at merger: asymmetric mass ratio
- Kick and spin alignment makes little difference for low f_{seed}

Outline

- High-redshift quasars observations
- High-redshift structure formation:
 BH remnants of the first stars theory
- Using stellar-mass seed BHs to build SMBHs
- Rapid direct collapse in more massive halos
- Probing z>6 SMBH assembly with future observations

Direct SMBH formation in T_{vir} >10⁴K halos?



cf. Halo virial temperature:

$$T_{\rm vir} = 10^4 \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_{\Theta}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{1+z}{11}\right) {\rm K}$$

Gas collapses to 10^5 - $10^6 M_{\odot}$ SMBH directly, or via a supermassive star or a dense stellar cluster

- gas driven in rapidly (deep potential)
- no fragmentation (avoid cooling)
- shed angular momentum (global instability)

Avoiding H_2 – cooling with UV flux

- H₂-formation rate $\propto \rho^2$ vs photo-dissoc. rate $\propto J\rho$
- Critical flux: $J \propto \rho$
- $J_{21,crit}$ low ~ 0.01-0.1 in low-mass mini-halos (n ~ 0.1-1 cm⁻³)
- Key: avoid H₂-cooling up to critical density of H₂: $n \sim 10^4$ cm⁻³
- $J_{21,crit}$ increased to 10^3-10^4 NB: H₂ self-shielding crucial (Wolcott-Green, ZH, Bryan 2011)
- Normal stars more effective than Pop III: softer spectrum produces high H⁻ -dissociation rate
- Compare to $J \sim 1$ (at $z \sim 3$) or $J \sim 10$ (at reionization)

Critical UV flux: 3D simulations

Shang, Bryan & Haiman (2010)

• Simulations with enzo: 3 halos with M $\sim 10^8$ M $_{\odot}$ identified in 1 Mpc box • re-simulate each halo, 13-18 refinement levels, with J=0, 10, 100, 10⁴, 10⁵



Collapse with UV flux from normal stars (T*=10,000 K)

Expected background flux at z~10:

J(UV) ~ 10

 $30 < J_{crit} < 100$

SMBH by direct collapse possible (?)

- In-fall proceeds at sound speed $c_s \approx 10$ km/s
- Mass accretion rate $M_{acc} \propto c_s^{-3} \sim 1 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$
- Fragmentation is not seen in simulations
- Central object has mass $M \approx 10^5 M_{\odot}$ (cf. $M \approx 10^2 M_{\odot}$ with H_2 , when $c_s \approx 1-2$ km/s)

SMBH by direct collapse possible (?)

Shang, Bryan & Haiman (2010)



Normal stars (soft UVB)

Pop III stars (hard UVB)

10⁸

SMBH by direct collapse possible (?)

Shang, Bryan & Haiman (2010)



10²⁻³ M_o Pop III star Abel et al.; Bromm et al.; Yoshida et al. <u>10⁵ M_o supermassive star/BH</u> Fuller, Woosley & Weaver(1986)

Compute UV Flux PDF Sampled by Halos

- (non-linear) source clustering.
- Poisson fluctuations in # of neighbors.
- UV luminosity scatter



Dijkstra, Haiman Mesinger & Wyithe (2008) Ahn et al. (2009) 1 in ~10⁷ halos has a close (\leq 10 kpc) bright and synchronized neighbor, so flux is ~ 30 × mean

N~10³ Gpc⁻³ halos, could all end up in z=6 QSO hosts

Direct SMBH formation: impact of metals Including the effect of (1) irradiation and (2) metals

Omukai, Schneider & Haiman (2008)



Direct SMBH formation in close halo pairs?

- Two conditions needed to avoid fragmentation:
 (i) J(LW) ≥ few 10² × 10⁻²¹ erg s cm⁻² Hz⁻¹ sr⁻¹
 (ii) Z ≤ 5 × 10⁻⁶ Z_☉
- First condition may be satisfied in rare case of a very close, bright & synchronized neighbors (Dijkstra, Haiman, Wyithe & Mesinger 2008)
- First condition eased for normal IMF (H⁻-dissociation) (Shang, Bryan & Haiman 2010)
- Second condition eased by factor of 100 if no dust (CII and OI cooling).
- Gas with trace metals forms dense cluster of low-mass stars \rightarrow collapse to IMBH of 10³ M_{\odot} (Omukai et al. 2008)

Alternative heating: magnetic field

Sethi, Haiman & Pandey (2010)

- Primordial magnetic field can be generated during phase transitions in the early universe
- Current best upper limit from CMB anisotropy: B~1nG
- Can ambipolar diffusion heating in collapsing halo balance HI cooling?



Outline

- High-redshift quasars observations
- High-redshift structure formation:
 BH remnants of the first stars theory
- Using stellar-mass seed BHs to build SMBHs
- Rapid direct collapse in more massive halos

Probing z>6 SMBH assembly with future observations

Future Observational Probes 1. SMBHs with $<10^{6}M_{\odot}$ should be directly detectable at $z\sim10^{6}M_{\odot}$ (i) optical/IR with JWST (~10 nJy at few µm) (ii) radio with EVLA, SKA ($\sim 1-10\mu$ Jy at 1-10 GHz) (iii) X-rays: CXO deep fields correspond to $\sim 10^8 M_{\odot}$ (IXO 2021) 2. Accreting BHs can cause "pre-ionizaton" at z>10 \rightarrow topology: swiss-cheese vs. nearly uniform due to X-rays. power spectrum (21cm, kSZ) depressed on scales < m.f.p. 3. LISA event rates (z>6): 0 to ~30 event/yr/dz mass ratio is a diagnostic

Reionization by Stars vs BHs

note: photon mean free path ~ Gpc $(E/1 \text{ keV})^3 [(1+z)/10]^{-3} f_{HI}^{-1}$



Stars only: Photon m.f.p. << source sep. swiss cheese



Stars + BH mix: Photon m.f.p. ~< source sep. Blurred swiss cheese



Accreting BHs dominate: Photon m.f.p. >~ source sep. Nearly uniform ionization

LISA sensitivity

Baker et al. (2007)



Conclusions

Explaining z=6 quasar SMBHs with $\sim 10^9 M_{\odot}$ is a challenge, requiring 1. optimistic assumptions, unique to these objects (i) stellar seeds common, embedded in dense gas, can grow at Eddington rate without interruption, or (ii) rapid "direct collapse" in rare special environment in "second generation" halo with no metals or H_2 Extra challenge: not to overproduce number of $\sim 10^{5-6} M_{\odot}$ SMBHs. 2. (i) seed are not too common, and their formation stops at $z\sim 25$? (ii) internal feedback always limits growth and maintains M_{BH} - σ relation? 3. Direct detections (optical/radio/X-ray) down to $\sim 10^{5-6} M_{\odot}$ at z=10 0-30 LISA merger events/yr + Indirect reionization signatures (21cm)

